For the Northern District of California

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| 1 | 1 | | | |
|----|---|------------------|--|--|
| 2 | 2 | | | |
| 3 | 3 | | | |
| 4 | 4 | | | |
| 5 | 5 | | | |
| 6 | 6 | | | |
| 7 | 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO | NI IDT | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 9 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 10 | 10 | | | |
| 11 | 11 LARRY H. JENKINS, Nos. C 13-0 | 0481 WHA (PR) | | |
| 12 | | ORDER OF SERVICE | | |
| 13 | | 3ER VICE | | |
| 14 | DR. BROCK; DR. CHASE; DR. SIRKIN; SGT. SMITH; MOON; | | | |
| 15 | 15 SOTO; SGT. WARREN; Lt. L. PENNISI, JR.; R. GROUNDS; L.V.N. | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | Defendants | | | |
| 18 | 18 | | | |
| 19 | 19 | | | |

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, a pro se prisoner, filed this civil rights case under 42 U.S.C. 1983 alleging that officials at Salinas Valley State Prison ignored plaintiff's suicidal condition and used excessive force against him. Plaintiff was given leave to file an amended complaint, which he has done. For the reasons discussed below, the amended complaint is ordered served upon certain defendants and dismissed as to other defendants.

ANALYSIS

STANDARD OF REVIEW Α.

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C.

1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and dismiss any claims which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *Id.* at 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged deprivation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. LEGAL CLAIMS

Plaintiff alleges that he told defendants Dr. Brock, Dr. Sirkin, and Dr. Chase that he was feeling suicidal, but they ignored his complaints because he is African-American. He alleges that he also told defendant Sergeant Smith that if he returned to his cell he would commit suicide, but Smith informed him that if he did not return to his cell he would be forcibly returned. After he was returned to his cell, he tried to kill himself. He alleges that on a prior occasion while in a holding cage in the prison's medical annex, he informed defendant Nurse McElroy that he was suicidal, but these statements were ignored, he was subject to racial slurs, and he was left in the cage with articles of clothing that he used to hang himself. He alleges that defendants Sergeant Warren and Correctional Officers Moon and Soto beat him after they found him hanging. When liberally construed, these allegations state cognizable claims against these defendants for deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs and the use of excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

Plaintiff makes no allegations against defendants Pennisi or Grounds. Plaintiff was instructed when granted leave to amend that he was required to allege how each and every defendant violated his constitutional rights, and that his failure to do so would result in the dismissal of his claims against them. Consequently, the complaint will be dismissed as to defendants Grounds and Pennisi.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set out above, it is hereby ordered as follows:

- 1. The claims against defendants R. Grounds and Lt. L. Pennisi, Jr., are **DISMISSED**.
- 2. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the amended complaint (dkt. 10) with all attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon defendants: **Dr. Brock; Dr. Chase; Dr. Sirkin; Sgt. Smith;**Correctional Officer Moon; Correctional Officer Soto; Sgt. Warren; and Nurse McElroy, at Salinas Valley State Prison. A courtesy copy of the amended complaint with attachments and this order shall also be mailed to the California Attorney General's Office.
- 3. Defendants **shall** file an answer that conforms to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - 4. In order to expedite the resolution of this case:
- a. No later than **91 days** from the date this order is filed, defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be promptly served on the plaintiff.
- b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with the court and served upon defendants no later than **28 days** from the date of service of the motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING," which is provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and *Klingele v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss claiming that plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), plaintiff should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)," which is provided to him as required by *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied, Alameida v. Wyatt*, 124 S.Ct 50 (2003).

c. Defendants **shall** file a reply brief no later than **14 days** after the date of service of the opposition.

| 2 | |
|----|--|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

25

26

27

28

| d. The moti | ion shall be deemed s | ubmitted as of the date | the reply brief is due. |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| No hearing will be held on | the motion unless the | court so orders at a lat | er date. |
| e. Along w | ith their motion, defe | ndants shall proof that | they served plaintiff the |
| 1. 11 | | 37 00 15510 11 | 5054 (0.1 G) T.1 (|

- applicable warning(s) required by *Woods v. Carey*, No. 09-15548, slip op. 7871 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012) and/or *Stratton v. Buck*, No. 10-35656, slip op. 11477 (9th Cir. Sept. 19, 2012), at the same time they served him with their motion. Failure to do so will result in the summary dismissal of their motion without prejudice.
- 5. All communications by the plaintiff with the court must be served on defendant, or defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to defendant or defendant's counsel.
- 6. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 7. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June <u>29</u>, 2013.

WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE